Endothelial cell density after photorefractive keratectomy for moderate myopia using a 213 nm solid-state laser system
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PURPOSE: To evaluate whether photorefractive keratectomy (PRK) for moderate myopia using a solid-state laser with a wavelength of 213 nm alters the corneal endothelial cell density.

SETTING: University refractive surgery center.

METHODS: The corneal endothelium was analyzed preoperatively and 1, 6, and 12 months postoperatively using corneal confocal microscopy (modified HRT II with a Rostock Cornea Module, Heidelberg Engineering) in 60 eyes (30 patients). Patients were randomized to have myopic PRK using a 213 nm wavelength solid-state laser (study group) or a conventional 193 nm wavelength excimer laser (control group). Three endothelial images were acquired in each of 30 preoperative normal eyes to evaluate the repeatability of endothelial cell density measurements. Repeated-measures analysis of variance was used to compare the variations in endothelial cell density between the 2 lasers and the changes in endothelial cell density over time.

RESULTS: There were no statistically significant differences in sex, age, corneal pachymetry, attempted correction, preoperative endothelial cell density, or postoperative refractive outcomes (uncorrected visual acuity, best spectacle-corrected visual acuity, and spherical equivalent refraction) between the 2 groups (P > 0.05). The coefficient of repeatability of endothelial cell density was 131 cells/mm². The measured endothelial cell count per 1.0 mm² did not significantly change up to 1 year postoperatively in either group (both P > 0.05). No statistically significant difference was found between the 2 groups in any postoperative interval (P > 0.05).

CONCLUSION: Photorefractive keratectomy for moderate myopia using a 213 nm wavelength solid-state laser or a conventional 193 nm wavelength excimer laser did not significantly affect corneal endothelial density during the 1-year postoperative period.

PATIENTS AND METHODS

This prospective comparative analysis comprised 30 patients (60 eyes) with bilateral myopia. The study was approved by the institutional review board. Before their participation, all patients were informed about the nature of the study and gave informed consent in accordance with institutional guidelines and the Declaration of Helsinki.

Exclusion criteria were active anterior segment disease, previous intraocular or corneal surgery, history of herpes keratitis, diagnosed autoimmune disease, systemic connective tissue disease or atopic syndrome, and corneal topographic findings suspicious for keratoconus.

The study group included 15 patients (30 eyes) who had PRK using a 213 nm wavelength solid-state laser (Pulzar Z1 Laser System, CustomVis). The control group consisted of 15 patients (30 eyes) who had PRK with a conventional 193 nm wavelength excimer laser system (Allegretto 400 Hz, WaveLight Technologie AG). The patients were randomly assigned to the study group or control group.

Surgical Technique

At surgery, the corneal epithelium was removed mechanically using a rotating brush in all cases. After the laser ablation, a soft contact lens was placed on the cornea. Both groups had PRK by the same surgeon (I.G.P.) using an identical technique and nomogram.

Clinical Examinations

Before PRK, all patients had a full ophthalmologic examination including manifest and cycloplegic refractions, uncorrected visual acuity (UCVA), best spectacle-corrected visual acuity (BSCVA), slitlamp biomicroscopy, Goldmann applanation tonometry, fundus evaluation, ultrasound pachymetry and corneal topography, and confocal microscopy. After PRK, all patients were monitored daily until complete epithelial healing, at which time the therapeutic contact lenses were removed.

Preoperative and postoperative follow-up (at 1, 6, and 12 months) included endothelial cell density assessment by the same examiner (V.F.D.), who was unaware of the laser used in the surgical procedures. Corneal confocal microscopy was performed with a modified confocal scanning laser ophthalmoscope (HRT II, Heidelberg Engineering) using the manufacturer’s software. The principle of operation of the modified scanning laser ophthalmoscope has been described.
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Statistical Analysis

To assess the repeatability of the instrument, 3 images of the endothelium were acquired in each of the 30 normal subjects and were used by an experienced operator to count the endothelial cell density. Measurement error and statistical analysis were performed using techniques described by Bland and Altman. The standard deviation of measurement was regressed against the mean of the 3 counts to examine the relationship between standard deviation and magnitude of measurement, while the repeatability of the instrument was expressed as 2 times the within-subject standard deviation as described by Bland and Altman.

An analysis of variance with repeated measures (over time) was performed to assess the effect of the 2 lasers on the temporal changes in endothelial cell density (preoperatively and 1, 6, and 12 months postoperatively).

RESULTS

Table 1 shows the patients’ demographics, preoperative refractive data, and attempted ablation depth. There were no intraoperative or early or late postoperative complications in any eye.

Visual Acuity and Refraction

At the 1-year postoperative examination, all eyes in both groups had a UCVA of 20/25 or better; 27 eyes (90.0%) in the excimer laser group and 28 eyes (93.3%) in the solid-state group had a UCVA of 20/20 or better. No eye in either group lost a line of Snellen BSCVA; 3 eyes (10.0%) in the excimer laser group and 4 eyes (13.3%) in the solid-state laser group gained 1 line of Snellen BSCVA.

The spherical equivalent (SE) refraction was within ±0.75 diopter (D) of emmetropia in all eyes in both groups. There was a mean reduction from −3.34 D ± 0.59 (SD) (range −2.00 to −4.63 D) to −0.33 ± 0.55 D (range 0.25 to −0.75 D) in the solid-state laser group and from −3.41 ± 0.51 D (range −1.75 to −4.50 D) to −0.36 ± 0.56 D (range 0.38 to −0.63 D) in the excimer laser group.

At 1 year, there were no statistically significant differences in refractive outcomes (UCVA, BSCVA, SE refraction) between the 2 laser groups (P > .05).

Endothelial Cell Density

The standard deviation of the endothelial cell measurement was not related to the magnitude of measurement as indicated by the Kendall τ rank correlation coefficient (0.02; P = .90) and regression analysis (slope not significantly different from zero; P = .68). Moreover, the repeatability of measurement, expressed as 2 times the within-subject standard deviation, was 131 cells/mm² (Figure 1).

Table 2 shows the confocal microscopy endothelial cell density measurements at each examination in
both groups. There was no significant difference in the preoperative endothelial cell count between the 2 groups (P > .05). A repeated-measures analysis of cell density variance did not indicate statistically significant differences in mean endothelial cell density at any postoperative interval measurement in either group (P > .05).

DISCUSSION

Three main side effects of laser corneal ablation have been identified: thermal damage, mechanical stress due to the pressure wave, and actinic damage due to the primary (laser beam) and secondary (fluorescence) radiation.1 The extent of primary actinic damage depends primarily on the absorption coefficient of the cornea25 and secondary actinic damage depends on the spectrum and the intensity of the fluorescence. The cornea exhibits a very high absorption coefficient at both laser wavelengths25,30,31, therefore, primary actinic damage is expected to be restricted to small depths in both cases. However, the potential implication of corneal stroma and endothelium to secondary (fluorescence) radiation cannot be estimated as little is known about the spectral characteristics of the fluorescence during 213 nm ablation of the cornea. Regarding the acoustic wave, the smaller beam size (0.60 mm diameter) of the 213 nm laser platform (approximately one third that of a typical excimer laser system; for example, 0.95 mm spot diameter with the Allegretto 400 Hz) produces less acoustic effect during the ablation,9 a phenomenon that might correlate with endothelial cell changes.32

Refractive surgery8–21 with the excimer laser has no significant adverse effect on the corneal endothelium. Mardeli et al.8 found no alterations in corneal endothelial density even 55 months after PRK in myopic patients, and Collins et al.10 found no detrimental effect on the corneal endothelium after laser in situ keratomileusis (LASIK) with the excimer laser. However, few studies in the literature report endothelial cell alterations after refractive surgery.7,29,33–36 Most of them refer to patients with high attempted corrections or with a residual stromal bed that is too thin. Kim et al.36 found there must be at least 200 µm of intact corneal stroma postoperatively to protect the endothelial monolayer.

In the current study, the measured endothelial cell count per 1.0 mm² did not significantly change up to 1 year postoperatively in the solid-state laser group or the excimer laser group. No statistically significant

Table 1. Patient demographics and refractive data.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Solid State Laser (Study Group)</th>
<th>Excimer Laser (Control Group)</th>
<th>P Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>No of eyes/patients</td>
<td>30/15</td>
<td>30/15</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sex (male/female)</td>
<td>8/7</td>
<td>7/8</td>
<td>.77</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age (y)</td>
<td>Mean ± SD 28.80 ± 7.07</td>
<td>29.10 ± 6.98</td>
<td>.84</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Range</td>
<td>18 to 42</td>
<td>20 to 45</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Preop corneal pachymetry (µm)</td>
<td>Mean ± SD 540.90 ± 22.30</td>
<td>544.70 ± 23.80</td>
<td>.65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Range</td>
<td>498 to 570</td>
<td>489 to 576</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Preop spherical equivalent (D)</td>
<td>Mean ± SD −3.34 ± 0.59</td>
<td>−3.41 ± 0.56</td>
<td>.74</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Range</td>
<td>−2.00 to −4.63</td>
<td>−1.75 to −4.50</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Preop cylinder (D)</td>
<td>Mean ± SD −0.48 ± 0.26</td>
<td>−0.46 ± 0.23</td>
<td>.69</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Range</td>
<td>0.00 to −0.75</td>
<td>0.00 to −0.75</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Attempted ablation depth (µm)</td>
<td>Mean ± SD 54.60 ± 9.71</td>
<td>53.40 ± 9.54</td>
<td>.76</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Range</td>
<td>31 to 72</td>
<td>27 to 70</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 1. Relationship between standard deviation of measurement and magnitude of measurement. The dotted line represents the regression line and the solid line, the within-subject standard deviation.

Figure 1868
difference was found between the 2 groups at any postoperative interval ($P > .05$). These results are in accordance with those in previous studies of PRK with the excimer laser, which showed no adverse effects on the corneal endothelium. Moreover, the refractive outcomes (UCVA, BSCVA, SE refraction) were not statistically significantly different between the 2 laser groups up to 1 year postoperatively ($P > .05$).

This study had several potential limitations. The major ones are the limited follow-up, lack of increased depth of ablation, and absence of endothelial cell morphology study (coefficient of variation of cell area and percentage of hexagonal cells).

In conclusion, our prospective comparative study found no statistically significant changes in corneal endothelial cell density up to 1 year after PRK performed with a solid-state (213 nm) or excimer (193 nm) laser for low to moderate myopic corrections. The small physical differences between the 2 laser platforms (Pulzar Z1 and Allegretto 400 Hz) seem to have no practical importance in terms of endothelial cell loss. Future studies including more patients, different surgical techniques (LASIK, epi-LASIK), and higher attempted corrections are needed to draw final conclusions.
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